

VZCZCXYZ0000  
OO RUEHWEB

DE RUEHUNV #0410/01 2401351  
ZNR UUUUU ZZH  
O 281351Z AUG 09  
FM USMISSION UNVIE VIENNA  
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 9986  
INFO RUEHRO/AMEMBASSY ROME IMMEDIATE 0570  
RUEHSM/AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM IMMEDIATE 0298  
RUEHII/VIENNA IAEA POSTS COLLECTIVE PRIORITY  
RUEHGP/AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE PRIORITY 0066  
RUEHPE/AMEMBASSY LIMA PRIORITY 0050  
RUEHLB/AMEMBASSY BEIRUT PRIORITY 0032  
RUEHUM/AMEMBASSY ULAANBAATAR 0030  
RUEHTC/AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE 0313  
RUEHTV/AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV 0276

UNCLAS UNVIE VIENNA 000410

SENSITIVE  
SIPDIS

STATE FOR T, IO/T, ISN/MNSA, ISN/RA  
NRC FOR OIP - DOANE  
DOE FOR S-1, NA-20-DAGASTINO;  
NA-243-GOOREVICH, OEHLBERT;  
NA-241 O'CONNOR; NA-21- CUMMINS;  
NE- MCGINNIS, PERKO, CLAPPER

E.O. 12958: N/A  
TAGS: [AORC](#) [KNNP](#) [IAEA](#) [ENRG](#) [TRGY](#)  
SUBJECT: IAEA/General Conference: Train wreck or New Dawn ?

REF: (A) UNVIE 359 (B) UNVIE 402 and previous (C) UNVIE 377 (D)  
UNVIE 397

-----  
Summary  
-----

1. (SBU) Traditionally, the September 14-18 53rd IAEA General Conference (GC) serves as a platform for promoting U.S. priorities on core IAEA issues, nuclear safety and security, strengthened safeguards and peaceful use of nuclear energy. While we will continue to promote these priorities, we fear that Middle East issues will overshadow the substantive work of the 2009 General Conference, including on technical resolutions, as previewed ref A. Our objectives for the GC will be circumscribed by this reality. U.S. goals will include the following:

-- GC approval of Director General-designate Yukiya Amano and approval of the 2010 budget: If nothing else, these are the two Statutory "must-dos" for the General Conference, and both are expected to be pro forma endorsements of two important U.S. accomplishments this summer.

-- Improving the divisive atmosphere in the General Conference and Board of Governors: As we continue our effort in concert with like-minded to bridge North-South divisions, this overarching goal will be contingent on the outcome of the Middle East debate.

-- Defeating or containing Iran's proposed agenda item on armed attacks against nuclear facilities and thereby signaling to Tehran that its effort at distracting international attention will not succeed.

-- Containing the fallout from the Middle East: Limiting the spillover of the Middle East debate and expected Arab Group and Iranian "hostage-taking" of other GC resolutions will be a core objective. Mission will advise USDEL to move technical resolutions expeditiously, even by vote in the absence of consensus. At the same time, we should prepare for the contingency of a "no resolutions" conference if all else fails. As previewed ref a, GC resolutions are not essential to the work of the Agency in core technical areas. This is not a desirable outcome, however, since an impasse on Middle East issues and abandonment of the technical resolutions would be a clear signal that the atmosphere in Vienna is

deteriorating, vice our strong desire to improve it, and could also be read as a decision on our part to make things worse among Member States before making them better. Avoiding this "train wreck" scenario will require further intensive effort in Vienna and capitals.

-- Highlighting nuclear security as a core IAEA function and furthering President Obama's goal of securing vulnerable nuclear material in four years: In addition to GC deliberations on the nuclear security resolution, high-level consultations on the margins of the General Conference will elevate the profile of this issue.

-- Preparation for the NPT Revcon: High-level consultations on the margins of the IAEA General Conference will help lay the ground-work for the 2010 Revcon. The tenor of the GC Middle East debate will also set the stage for the Revcon.

¶2. (SBU) USDEL will focus on two key policy objectives: the disposition of Middle East issues with a view to a "new consensus" or, failing that, damage control as described above, and secondly, the setting aside or defeat of any resolution associated with Iran's supplemental agenda item on armed attacks against nuclear facilities. Both of these issues have the potential to derail the General Conference. Ref B previews agenda item 21 on the Application of IAEA Safeguards in the Middle East as well as the supplemental item on Israeli Nuclear Capabilities and the supplemental Iranian item on armed attacks. Further guidance is requested on these issues with respect to the General Committee (agenda item 1 below) and the adoption of the agenda (agenda item 5 below).

¶3. (SBU) Ref A previews the GC resolutions under agenda items 15-19

relating to core IAEA technical areas of nuclear safety, security, technical cooperation, nuclear applications and safeguards. Corresponding reports by the Director General for the September Board and General Conference are also previewed in ref D. GC position papers need not repeat guidance on the DG reports already covered in the September Board but should include guidance on the respective resolutions, as appropriate. There is no requirement for or expectation of prepared U.S. statements on these items in the Plenary; USDEL will draft EOVs as developments warrant. Likewise, Ref c previews DPRK agenda item 22. Several of the Six Parties deliver statements under this agenda item and corresponding resolution, but the U.S. does not usually speak. Mission requests appropriate guidance on a U.S. statement on DPRK in the GC Plenary, if necessary. All other GC agenda items are covered below.

¶4. (SBU) Among other side-events, the annual Scientific Forum this year will be devoted to the theme of "Energy for Development" (para 32). The Department of Commerce (DOC) will also host a U.S. nuclear industry group on the margins of the GC (para 33).

-----  
Agenda Item 1: Election of Officers / General Committee  
-----

¶5. (SBU) Election of Officers: The South East Asia Pacific (SEAP) group has selected New Zealand Ambassador Jennifer Macmillan to serve as the President of the 2009 General Conference. The Secretariat has also been advised that UK Ambassador Smith will serve as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole (COW) on behalf of Western Europe. As the first order of business, the General Conference will select eight Vice-Presidents nominated from each of the eight regional groups, who also serve on the General Committee. Thus far, the known nominees for GC Vice President are: United States (North America), Finland (Western Europe), Singapore (SEAP), Mongolia (Far East), Iran (MESA) and Sudan (Africa). Eastern Europe and Latin America and the Caribbean (GRULAC) have not selected their respective nominees for VP as of yet; Russia usually claims the Eastern Europe slot and Peru is seeking the GRULAC VP slot. This is the second consecutive year in which Iran has occupied the symbolic role of GC Vice President for MESA, which gives Iran a voice and vote on the General Committee as to the disposition of its supplemental agenda item (see below), but is otherwise without substantive importance.

¶6. (SBU) General Committee: The General Committee meets at the

beginning of the GC and later as necessary to manage the agenda, examine credentials, consider requests to restore voting rights, revise the format of resolutions, and otherwise assist the GC President. The President of the GC chairs the General Committee, which includes the eight Vice Presidents, the Chairman of the COW and six additional members this year. The additional members will include Canada (North America), Italy (Western Europe), Lebanon (MESA) and Libya (Africa). (Note: Lebanon is also the Chair of the Vienna Arab Group. End Note.) Eastern Europe and GRULAC will also each nominate an additional member. Thus the regional composition of the 2009 General Committee will be:

--N. America: U.S. (VP) and Canada.  
--W. Europe: Finland (VP) and Italy and COW Chair UK  
--E. Europe: 1 VP, 1 elected member  
--Africa: Sudan (VP) and Libya  
--MESA: Iran (VP)  
--GRULAC 1 VP and 1 elected member  
--Far East Mongolia (VP)  
--SEAP Singapore (VP)

17. (U) At its first meeting on the opening day of the General Conference, the General Committee will consider the provisional GC agenda submitted to the June Board of Governors, along with two requests for supplementary agenda items: Israeli Nuclear Capabilities (INC) submitted by the Arab Group and an Iranian-proposed item entitled, "Prohibition of Armed Attack or Threat of Attack Against Nuclear Installations, During Operation or Under Construction" (previewed ref B). The General Committee report to the General Conference will include a recommendation as to the inclusion of these items on the agenda. The General Committee

cannot discuss the substance of the items except in so far as it bears upon the question of whether or not to include them. (Note: This recommendation can be challenged in Plenary. End Note).

18. (SBU) The General Committee will also convene, usually on the fourth day of the General Conference, as the Credentials Committee. This will be the occasion for a scripted exchange between the Arab Group and Israel on the latter's credentials, and also potentially a challenge to Honduras's credentials, in the unlikely event that Honduras sends a delegation to the General Conference. Brazil and Mexico have advised the Secretariat that GRULAC members would challenge credentials presented on behalf of the "illegitimate" government of Honduras. Since Honduras has been a no-show for previous General Conferences, the Secretariat considers this a remote possibility, but would observe UN practice (i.e., the disposition of Honduras's UNGA delegation) in any legal opinion, if so required. For many years, the Arab-Israeli exchange of letters has followed an agreed formula, also involving an IAEA legal opinion. The head of the Arab Group submits a formal reservation to Israel's credentials having been signed in "occupied Jerusalem." Israel responds with a letter disputing the reservation as politically motivated and irrelevant to the purpose of the GC rules of procedure and credentials. The Credentials Committee "notes" each letter, thus giving each equal status. The General Conference then approves agenda item 25 "Examination of Delegates' Credentials."

19. (SBU) Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the U.S. join consensus in endorsing the selection of officers and appointment of the General Committee, as proposed by respective regional groups. USDEL should use the General Committee discussion of the supplemental item on the INC to underline our efforts to support a consensus approach to Middle East GC issues. USDEL should voice strong reservations in principle, as in the past, and register disappointment as to Arab Group insistence on a second Middle East item singling out Israel, given the existing agenda item on the Application of Middle East Safeguards. However, the U.S. should not provoke an agenda fight by opposing inclusion of this supplemental item, which has appeared on the agendas of successive General Conferences. Mission welcomes elements for the U.S. statement in the General Committee on the INC item.

110. (SBU) Recommendation and Action Request (continued): The Iranian request for a supplemental agenda item on armed attacks against nuclear facilities is another matter. As previewed ref B, USDEL should consider a General Committee challenge to the inclusion

of the Iranian item should we have sufficient votes, i.e., a majority of eight, on the Committee. It would be optimal if another like-minded Committee member called for a vote so as to reduce the likelihood of a tit-for-tat exchange between the U.S. and Iran on the Committee, but USDEL should be authorized to call a vote if no other delegation volunteers. (Note: There is no recent precedent of a General Committee challenge or vote against the inclusion of an item, though such a possibility is envisaged in the Rules of Procedure. End note.) USDEL will require strong procedural arguments to challenge this Iranian item given that the General Conference has adopted three previous resolutions on the subject in 1985, 1987, and 1990. While questioning Iranian motivations for this agenda item request, USDEL may also argue that the UN Security Council is the more appropriate body to address matters of international peace and security and that consideration of legally-binding instruments is a matter for the UNGA Sixth/Legal Committee. USDEL should also underline, as UNVIE has done in informal consultations, that this item will complicate GC deliberations on the Middle East, despite Iranian pretensions of presenting the issue as a general matter disassociated from regional developments as well as the Arab Group's desire to keep the issues separate. Many delegations have indicated to us confusion over whether Iran intends to table a resolution in connection with this item. There is little enthusiasm for such a development, but much wider sympathy for the idea that Member States should be able to discuss attacks on nuclear facilities. A further option within the scope of the General Committee is to refer the Iranian item to the COW; Mission recommends against this as it would politicize the work of the COW on technical resolutions and likely be opposed by the UK COW Chair.

¶11. (SBU) Recommendation and Action Request (continued): A General Committee recommendation against inclusion of this supplemental agenda item may dissuade Iran from calling a Plenary vote on the adoption of the agenda, which is usually adopted by consensus. Since the General Committee only makes recommendations, a Plenary vote is still possible, and we will need to assess the chances of the Committee's recommendation being overturned in Plenary. By the same token, if the General Committee recommends inclusion of the Iranian item, the U.S. or like-minded can also call a vote in Plenary to seek deletion of this item from the agenda by simple-majority vote. USDEL seeks Department guidance and elements for U.S. statements in the General Committee and/or Plenary on the disposition of the Iranian agenda item, including any legal analysis as to the appropriateness of the item within the scope of the IAEA mandate. Mission notes that any chance of successfully defeating the Iranian item in the Plenary must be preceded by a strong demarche in capitals. Per ref b, the NAM sent DG ElBaradei a letter supporting inclusion of the agenda item, but Egypt and other NAM contacts have told us the NAM position is based on the principle of not blocking discussion of items on procedural grounds, vice strong substantive support for Iran. To win a Plenary vote, we would have to splinter any semblance of NAM unity on this issue, perhaps via an appeal to prevent Iran from further politicizing and sidetracking the GC.

¶12. (SBU) Recommendation and Action Request (continued): With respect to the Credentials Committee, USDEL will require contingency guidance in the unlikely event Honduras seeks to seat a delegation.

-----  
Agenda Item 2: Applications for Membership  
-----

¶13. (U) To date, the Board of Governors has not received or referred any new applications for IAEA membership to the General Conference. A membership request by Cambodia may be forthcoming for the September Board for approval by the General Conference. Cambodia was an IAEA member 1958-2003 and its membership application is problematic due to significant arrears. The Secretariat is seeking to finalize a re-payment plan for Cambodia before submitting its membership application to the Board and General Conference. Recommendation and Action Request: Assuming that Cambodia agrees to repayment of arrears, as is expected, Mission recommends that USDEL join consensus in approving its membership application.

-----

Agenda Item 3: Message from the UN Secretary General

-----

¶14. (U) A ceremonial message from the UN SYG is read just before the DG's statement.

-----

Agenda Item 4: Statement by the Director General

-----

¶15. (U) This will be DG ElBaradei's swan-song, the last time he will address the IAEA General Conference as Director General. We are advised to expect a lofty and sweeping statement to include ElBaradei's personal reflections on his twelve-year tenure as Director General and the future of the Agency as well as on the non-proliferation regime. We can expect him to go well beyond his brief as IAEA DG in addressing disarmament and assume the mantle of Nobel Laureate for the occasion. This will certainly diverge from the normal format of covering the GC agenda, and likely focus on "legacy issues" which may include Iran, or more helpfully, nuclear security and fuel banks. Following the General Conference, the DG will deliver similar remarks to the UNGA in early November.

-----

Agenda Item 5: Arrangements for the Conference / Agenda

-----

¶16. (SBU)(a) Adoption of the Agenda: Breaking from the customary practice of adopting the agenda by consensus would be a major shift in the General Conference dynamic. Depending on developments in the General Committee, i.e., whether or not the Committee recommends inclusion of the supplemental Iranian item on armed attacks (paras 9-10, ref B), the onus of breaking consensus will either fall upon Iran or U.S./like-minded. In accordance with Rule 18, the provisional agenda and report of the General Committee is submitted to the General Conference for approval. There is no precedent in recent memory of calling for a vote on the agenda and recommendation of the General Committee. If the recommendation is to exclude the item, Iran could call a vote in Plenary to reject the General Committee's recommendation and seek inclusion of the item. If the General Committee recommendation is to include the item, U.S./like-minded could seek its deletion in the Plenary in accordance with Rule 22 allowing amendment or deletion of an item by majority vote.

¶17. (SBU) Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that USDEL join consensus in adopting the provisional agenda and General Committee report recommending exclusion of the Iranian agenda item. If Iran calls for a Plenary vote to overturn the recommendation of the General Committee, USDEL should vote "no" and rally others to do the same. In the contingency that the General Committee recommendation is to include the Iranian item, i.e., because we lacked sufficient votes in Committee to exclude it, Mission recommends giving USDEL the option to call for or support deletion of the item from the agenda by Plenary vote. USDEL should consider the advisability of this option, which places the onus on us for disrupting the General Conference, based on our chances of success. Alternatively, USDEL may pursue other procedural options (ref B) upon consideration of the Iranian item later in the General Conference. In addressing this issue, we need to bear in mind that whatever diplomatic capital we are forced to expend will inevitably detract from resources we will need to prevail on other Middle East items.

¶18. (U)(b) Closing date of the Session and the Opening of the Next Session: The GC will be asked to confirm that the closing date of the current 53rd General Conference will be Friday, September 18, 2009 and that the opening date of the 54th General Conference will be September 20, 2010. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that USDEL join consensus in approving the dates.

-----

Agenda Item 6: Approval of the Appointment of the DG

-----

¶19. (U) The General Conference is expected to approve by acclamation the Board's appointment of Yukiya Amano as the next Director General for a term of four years, from December 1, 2009 to November 30,



¶2013. The Director General-designate will make an acceptance speech. In addition, the General Conference will be asked to approve a resolution entitled "Tribute to the Director General," which confers upon Dr. ElBaradei the customary title of IAEA Director General Emeritus (previewed ref D.)

¶20. (U) Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that USDEL join consensus on the approval of the Director General and the tribute to ElBaradei. As Chairman of the North America Group, the United States is expected to deliver a short regional group statement welcoming DG-designate Amano and paying tribute to ElBaradei. Mission requests early submission of a draft statement so that we can consult with Canada (the only other member of the North America Group) in advance of delivery.

-----  
Agenda Item 7: Contributions to the TC Fund for 2009  
-----

¶21. (U) Under this agenda item, the GC President requests Member States to confirm pledges to the Technical Cooperation Fund (TCF), and notes that such pledges can be made during the course of the General Conference. Recommendation: No USDEL action is needed.

-----  
Agenda Item 8: General Debate and Annual Report 2008  
-----

¶22. (U) For the first three days of the General Conference, while most of the substantive work is taking place in the Committee of the Whole, the Plenary is devoted to the "General Debate." It is essential that an accredited member of the U.S. delegation sit in the Plenary whenever it is in session in case another delegation proposes an undesirable parliamentary action. The General Debate is not a debate, but rather the time when heads of delegation deliver formal remarks. Delegates are asked to keep their remarks to 15 minutes, but evening sessions are often required for all of the statements to be made. Heads of delegation of Ministerial rank are given earlier time slots than lower ranking speakers. At least twenty Ministers of Energy or Foreign Affairs are expected to attend the GC. Secretary Chu will be among the first Ministers speaking in the morning of September 14. The Secretary currently has the third speaking slot after Afghanistan and Ghana and followed by China, Sweden (EU Presidency), Egypt and Russia in the afternoon session. Speakers use the General Debate to highlight issues of importance to them. For example, Arab states always call on Israel to sign the NPT and place its nuclear facilities under IAEA safeguards. The G-77 and China call on donor states to contribute their full share to the Technical Cooperation Fund (TCF.) Although the issue of Iran's nuclear program is not on the GC agenda, Mission will take note of any interventions that touch on this and other verification issues. Recommendation: As the first Ministerial statement to the IAEA General Conference under the Obama Administration, Mission recommends that Secretary Chu's statement encapsulate U.S. goals and priorities with respect to the Agency, including nuclear safety, security, safeguards and peaceful uses of nuclear energy. The Secretary may also thank DG ElBaradei for his service and welcome DG-designate Amano.

¶23. (U) The GC is required by the IAEA Statute to consider the annual report of the previous year, which is contained in GC(53)/7. The Board of Governors approved the report at its June meeting. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the U.S. delegation be authorized to join consensus in approving the 2008 annual report.

-----  
Agenda Item 9: Elections to the Board of Governors  
-----

¶24. (SBU) The GC is required to elect 11 members to the Board for two years, according to a regional distribution determined by the Agency's Statute. This agenda item is usually addressed on the fourth day of the Conference after the General Debate. The GC normally ratifies agreed candidates selected by the regional groups. The Africa group has not yet agreed on two candidates to fill the Board seats being vacated by Algeria and Ghana. At present, there remain four candidates for the Africa seats: Libya, Tunisia, Niger

and Cameroon. Although the Africa group is expected to come to agreement in advance of the General Conference, there is a recent precedent of a contested election for the Africa group Board seats in 1997. If an election is required, the GC President would likely name the countries interested, and conduct several votes by secret ballot with a simple majority required for election to either of the two seats.

¶25. (SBU) The other regional groups have agreed on the following candidates to fill their respective regional seats:

-- GRULAC: Peru, Venezuela  
-- Western Europe: Denmark, The Netherlands  
-- Eastern Europe: Azerbaijan, Ukraine  
-- Far East: ROK and Mongolia (floating seat)  
-- MESA: Pakistan

The outgoing elected Board members are: Albania, Lithuania, Ireland, Switzerland, Mexico, Ecuador, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Philippines, Algeria and Ghana. (Note: Switzerland will remain on the Board as a designated member replacing Finland, which will leave the Board. End Note.)

¶26. (SBU) Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that

USDEL join consensus in approving all nominations on behalf of regional groups for elected Board members. USDEL requests contingency guidance on which of the Africa Group contenders (Libya, Tunisia, Niger and Cameroon) to vote for in a secret ballot election, if the group does not come to agreement. It is customary but not required that one elected Africa Group member be from North Africa and the other from Sub-Saharan Africa.

-----  
Agenda Item 10     The Agency's Accounts for 2008  
-----

¶27. (U) U) The General Conference will be asked to take note of the Agency's Accounts for 2008 and of the External Auditor's report. The Accounts were accepted by the Program and Budget Committee in its April 27, 2009 session. (In future versions of this document, the Secretariat has indicated it will report the income and disbursements from the newly-established Program Support Cost "sub-fund.") Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the U.S. delegation join consensus in noting the Accounts and the External Auditor's report.

-----  
Agenda Item 11     The Agency's Budget for 2010-2011  
-----

¶28. (U) (U) The General Conference will be asked to approve the 2010 Budget, in accordance with the recommendation from the August Special Board. After intensive U.S. efforts, the Budget entails a 2.7 percent real growth increase and a 2.7 percent price adjustment, along with a number of cost-cutting measures. A Capital Investment Fund is established and will initially be used to fund upgrades to the Safeguards Analytical Laboratory. The 2010 Regular budget will total 318.3 million Euros, with the largest increases from 2009 levels in Nuclear Security and Safety, Management of Technical Cooperation, Nuclear Power and Nuclear Applications. The General Conference will also approve the TCF target for 2010 of 85 million USD. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends the U.S. delegation join consensus to approve the 2010 budget resolution. Delegation should also accept a 2010 TC voluntary contribution target of USD 85 million.

-----  
Agenda Item 12     Appointment of the External Auditor  
-----

¶29. (SBU) The tenure of the Agency's External Auditor will end with the completion of the audit of the Agency's accounts for 2009. Germany, the incumbent, struggled with India and Morocco for several months over Germany's wish for a fourth and final two-year appointment to continue the job of auditing the Agency's accounts for 2010-2011. Morocco eventually withdrew and India is reportedly poised to accept a deal that allows for Germany's continued tenure.

Barring some change in this status quo, the September Board will recommend that Germany's Federal Audit Chamber be re-appointed as External Auditor for 2010 and 2011. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the U.S. delegation join consensus in approving the Board's recommended appointment of Germany as External Auditor.

-----  
Agenda Item 13    Amendment to Article XIV.A  
-----

¶25. (U) The 1999 General Conference approved this amendment, the purpose of which is to promote the benefits of biennial budgeting. The Secretariat informs Member States in GC (53)/INF/5 of the progress of the amendment's ratification by a two-thirds majority of Member States for entry into force in accordance with the GC statute. Only 44 Member States have ratified thus far, one more than last year; 100 ratifications are required for entry into force. The United States is not among those that have ratified. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends the U.S. delegation joins other Member States in taking note of the report.

-----  
Agenda Item 14    Scale of Assessment  
-----

¶26. (U) The General Conference will be asked to approve the scale of assessments for 2010. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the U.S. delegation be authorized to join consensus.

-----  
Agenda Item 22    Amendment to Article VI  
-----

¶27. The 1999 General Conference approved this amendment, the purpose of which is to expand Board membership from 35 to a total of 43 Member States to provide a "more democratic representation" of the Board. The numbers of elected and "designated" members "most advanced in the technology of atomic energy" in different regional groups under Article VI (a) would increase, to include the expected designation of Pakistan and the ROK. The two requirements for entry into force of this amendment have not been met: ratification by two-thirds of Member States, and confirmation by the GC of a list, adopted by the Board, whereby each Member State is allocated to one of the regional groups (including Israel in MESA) referred to in Article VI (1)(a). The Secretariat informs Member States in GC(53)/10 of progress on the amendment's ratification. Thus far, 48 states have ratified, out of a total of 100 required for entry into force. The United States has not ratified this amendment. Recommendation and Action Request: USDEL should join consensus in taking note of these ratifications.

-----  
Agenda Item 23    Elections to the Staff Pension Committee  
-----

¶28. (U) The GC will be requested to approve the election of an alternate member of the Agency's Staff Pension Committee. The alternate member from the UK will become a full member, replacing a member from South Africa. Morocco has informally nominated an alternate. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the USDEL join consensus.

-----  
Agenda Item 24    Personnel: (a) Staffing, (b) Women  
-----

¶29. (U) The General Conference will be requested to receive two reports on Secretariat staffing issues by nationality and gender, which are expected to be approved by the September Board. Recommendation and Action Request: Mission recommends that the U.S. delegation join consensus in receiving the two reports.

-----  
Agenda Item 25    Examination of Delegates Credentials  
-----



¶30. (U) Paras 10 and 12 preview this agenda item, which is raised within the General Committee. Recommendation and Action Request: USDEL should join the expected consensus in approving the General Committee's report. USDEL seeks guidance should a credentials challenge arise with respect to Honduras, as previewed in para 10.

-----  
Agenda Item 26      Report on TCF Fund Contributions  
-----

¶31. (U) The GC President will report on pledges for the TC Fund, including those made during the General Conference (para 21). Recommendation and Action Request: No U.S. action is necessary.

-----  
Scientific Forum  
-----

¶32. (U) The IAEA Scientific Forum, being held September 15-16 on the margins of the General Conference, will focus on Energy for Development. The forum will be divided into four sessions: Energy for Development - The Issues, Energy Demand, Energy Supply, and International Institutional Implications. The first session will review principle issues surrounding energy development and the lack of access to modern energy services. The second session will discuss essential drivers of energy demand and the prospects for energy efficiency improvements in end-use sectors. The third session will focus on energy resource availability and supply technology options (renewable, fossil, and nuclear). The fourth session will explore the role of international organizations in advancing energy for development. U.S. private experts speaking at the conference are former White House Science Adviser John H. Gibbons from Resource Strategies and Thomas Schelling. Richard Cirillo from Argonne National Laboratory and DOE DAS Carmen Difiglio will also speak.

-----  
U.S. Industry Event  
-----

¶32. (U) The Department of Commerce (DOC) will host a U.S. nuclear industry delegation on the margins of the GC to promote U.S. services and technologies to interested IAEA Member States. DOC, DOS, and DOE continue to support the involvement of U.S. companies in the global expansion of nuclear energy and want to ensure that U.S. industry is well-positioned to take advantage of the growing business opportunities. The DOC sponsored three-day program for approximately 30 representatives of U.S. firms will focus on participation in the U.S. technologies and services exhibit, in the U.S. policymaker roundtable, and in technologies and services seminars.

DAVIES